arXiv:1410.2970v1 [math.GT] 11 Oct 2014

THE ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE REIDEMEISTER TORSION FOR SEIFERT MANIFOLDS AND $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-REPRESENTATIONS OF FUCHSIAN GROUPS

YOSHIKAZU YAMAGUCHI

Abstract. We show that a $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of a Fuchsian group induces the asymptotics of the Reidemeister torsion for the Seifert manifold corresponding to the euler class of the $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation. We also show that the limit of leading coefficient of the Reidemeister torsion is determined by the euler class of a $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of a Fuchsian group. In particular, the leading coefficient of the Reidemeister torsion for the unit tangent bundle over a two–orbifold converges to $-\chi \log 2$ where χ is the Euler characteristic of the two–orbifold. We also give a relation between $\mathbb{Z}_2$-extensions for $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations of a Fuchsian group and the asymptotics of the Reidemeister torsion.

1. Introduction

The previous works [Yam13, Yam] of the author have investigated the asymptotic behavior of the Reidemeister torsion for a Seifert manifold with an sequence of $\mathrm{SL}_{2N}(\mathbb{C})$-representation of the fundamental group. Here the sequence of $\mathrm{SL}_{2N}(\mathbb{C})$-representations starts with an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-representation and the remaining representations are given by the composition with the irreducible $2N$-dimensional representations of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. A sequence of $\mathrm{SL}_{2N}(\mathbb{C})$-representations defines a sequence of the Reidemeister torsion of a Seifert manifold. We can consider the asymptotic behavior of the sequence given by the Reidemeister torsions. Under the constraint that $\mathrm{SL}_{2N}(\mathbb{C})$-representations send a regular fiber to $-I_{2N}$, the observation in [Yam] revealed the growth order and the convergence of the leading coefficient of the Reidemeister torsion for a Seifert manifold.

In this paper, we observe when we have a natural situation for a Seifert manifold and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations satisfying our constraint. We will deal with closed Seifert manifolds whose base orbifolds have the negative Euler characteristics. Namely, they admit the $\mathbb{H}^2\times\mathbb{R}$ or $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-geometry. We can regard the fundamental group of the base orbifold as a cocompact Fuchsian group Γ which can be embedded in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. The fundamental group of a Seifert manifold is a central extension of the Fuchsian group Γ by $\mathbb{Z}$. The universal cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is also a central extension of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ by $\mathbb{Z}$. If we choose a homomorphism $\bar{\rho}$ from Γ to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ for a Seifert manifold M, then we have a lift $\widetilde{\rho}$ from $\pi_1(M)$ to $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$. This is due to the work [JN85] by M. Jankins and W. Neumann (we review this in Section 2.2). Since $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is also the universal cover of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, we have the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation ρ of $\pi_1(M)$ given by the composition of $\widetilde{\rho}$ with the projection from $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$ onto $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. The $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation ρ induces the $\mathrm{SL}_{2N}(\mathbb{R})$-representations which define the acyclic chain complexes of the Seifert manifold M. We can observe the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 57M27, 57M05, Secondary: 57M50.

Key words and phrases. Seifert fibered spaces; geometric structure; Reidemeister torsion; asymptotic behaviors.

asymptotics of the Reidemeister torsion starting with a $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of a Fuchsian group.

We refer the case of a Seifert homology sphere and SU(2)-representations to the previous work [Yam], in which the maximum and minimum of the limits have been observed in detail. The limit of the leading coefficient is determined by the images of exceptional fibers by a given SU(2)-representation.

First, we will show that the limit of leading coefficient in the asymptotic behavior of the Reidemeister torsions is determined by a representation of a Fuchsian group. Here we start with a $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of the Fuchsian group. Let $\bar{\rho}$ be a $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of a cocompact Fuchsian group $\Gamma = \Gamma(g; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ (for the notation, see Section 2.2). If we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & \mathbb{Z} & \to & \pi_1(M(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n})) & \to & \Gamma & \to & 1 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow \widetilde{\rho} & & \downarrow \bar{\rho} & & \\ 0 & \to & \mathbb{Z} & \to & \widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \to & \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \to & 1, \end{array}$$

then we have the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation ρ of $\pi_1(M(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}))$ which induces a sequence of the Reidemeister torsion $\mathrm{Tor}(M; \rho_{2N})$ (for the details on this sequence, we refer to Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.3). The sequence of $\log|\mathrm{Tor}(M(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}); \rho_{2N})$ has the growth order of $2N$ and the following leading coefficient.

Theorem (Theorem 3.5)**.** *For the induced* $\mathrm{SL}_{2N}(\mathbb{R})$*-representations* ρ_{2N}*, the limit of the leading coefficient of* $\log|\mathrm{Tor}(M(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}); \rho_{2N})|$ *is expressed as*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\log|\mathrm{Tor}(M(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}); \rho_{2N})|}{2N} = -\Big(2 - 2g - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_j - 1}{\lambda_j}\Big) \log 2.$$

Here λ_j *is the order of* $\bar{\rho}(q_j)$ *where* q_j *is the homotopy class of a loop around the j-th branched point on the two–orbifold.*

Remark. The existence of a lift $\widetilde{\rho}$ is determined by the euler class $e(\bar{\rho})$ in $H^2(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$. The explicit value of λ_j is given in Theorem 3.5. The limit of the leading coefficient is also determined by the euler class $e(\bar{\rho})$.

The unit tangent bundle over a two–orbifold $\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$ is also a Seifert manifold with the index $((1, 2g-2), (\alpha_1, \alpha_1 - 1), \ldots, (\alpha_n, \alpha_n - 1))$. We can think of the unit tangent bundle $T^1(\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma)$ as $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma$. For a Seifert manifold $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma$, we can take a $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation $\widetilde{\rho}$ of $\pi_1(\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma)$ as a lift of an embedding from Γ into $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Corollary (Corollary 3.7)**.** *Suppose that an* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$*-representation* ρ *of* $\pi_1(\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma)$ *is the composition of* $\widetilde{\rho}$ *with the projection from* $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$ *onto* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$*. Then we have the following limit of the leading coefficient of* $\log|\mathrm{Tor}(\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma; \rho_{2N})|$*:*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\log|\mathrm{Tor}(\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma; \rho_{2N})|}{2N} &= -\Big(2 - 2g - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_j - 1}{\alpha_j}\Big) \log 2 \\ &= -\chi \log 2 \end{aligned}$$

where χ *is the Euler characteristic of the base orbifold* $\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$.

We also investigate which $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation $\bar{\rho}$ of Γ induces $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of $\pi_1(M(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}))$. We show a sufficient condition for $\bar{\rho}$ to be lifted to an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation for a given Seifert manifold $M(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n})$ in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 \to & \mathbb{Z} & \to \pi_1(M(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n})) & \to & \Gamma & \to 1 \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \bar{\rho} & \\ 0 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to & & \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \to & \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \to 1. \end{array}$$

Our sufficient condition is given in terms of the euler class of $\bar{\rho}$.

Theorem (Theorem 4.3)**.** *A $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation $\bar{\rho}$ of Γ induces an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-one of $\pi_1(M(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}))$ such that $\rho(h) = -I$ if the euler class $e(\bar{\rho})$ satisfies the criteria of Theorem 2.2 and gives the equivalent class $[bx_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_n x_n]$ in $\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.*

Moreover the limit of the leading coefficient for $\mathrm{Tor}((; M(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n})); \rho_{2N})$ is expressed in Theorem 4.6. We will compute explicit examples for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations of Brieskorn manifolds by using Theorem 4.3

Organization. We review the previous result on the asymptotic behavior of the Reidemeister torsion in Section 2.1. Section 2.2 gives a brief review the work on $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations of a cocompact Fuchsian group by Jankins and Neumann [JN85]. In Section 3, We observe the asymptotic behavior of the Reidemeister torsion for a Seifert manifold and the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation induced by a $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-one. We deal with $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations for a Seifert manifold, which are given by $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations of a Fuchsian group with different euler classes in Section 4. The last Section 5 shows explicit examples of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations induced by $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-ones for Brieskorn manifolds.

2. Preliminaries

For a Seifert index $(g; (1, b), (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \ldots, (\alpha_n, \beta_n))$, we follow the convention of Jankins and Neumann [JN85]. This notation differs from that of [Yam] in sign of b and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$.

2.1. **Asymptotic behavior of the Reidemeister torsion.** Let M be a Seifert manifold of the index $(g; (1, b), (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \ldots, (\alpha_n, \beta_n))$. The fundamental group of M is expressed as

$$\pi_1(M) = \langle a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_g, b_g, q_1, \ldots, q_n, h \,|\, h \text{ is central}, q_j^{\alpha_j} = h^{\beta_j}, q_1 \cdots q_n \prod_{i=1}^{g} [a_i, b_i] = h^{-b} \rangle.$$

We use the symbol ρ for an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-representation of $\pi_1(M)$. We denote by ρ_{2N} the composition of ρ with the irreducible $2N$–dimensional representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and by $\mathrm{Tor}(M; \rho_{2N})$ the Reidemeister torsion of M and ρ_{2N}. The asymptotic behavior of the Reidemeister torsion is expressed as follows.

Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 4.5 in [Yam])**.** *If $\pi_1(M)$ has an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-representation ρ sending the homotopy class h of a regular fiber to $-I$, the asymptotic behavior of the sequence given by the Reidemeister torsion $\mathrm{Tor}(M; \rho_{2N})$ is expressed as*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\log |\mathrm{Tor}(M; \rho_{2N})|}{(2N)^2} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\log |\mathrm{Tor}(M; \rho_{2N})|}{2N} = -\Big(2 - 2g - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_j - 1}{\lambda_j}\Big) \log 2. \tag{1}$$

The right hand side of (1) *is determined by the orders of the* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$*-matrices for the exceptional fibers. When we denote by* ℓ_j *the homotopy class of j-th exceptional fiber, each* λ_j *is half the order of* $\rho(\ell_j)$*.*

2.2. $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$**-representations of Fuchsian groups and the euler classes.** We use the symbol $\Gamma = \Gamma(g; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ for a cocompact Fuchsian group of genus g with branch indices $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$. The Fuchsian group Γ has the following presentation:

$$\Gamma = \langle a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_g, b_g, q_1, \ldots, q_n \mid q_j^{\alpha_j} = 1, q_1 \cdots q_n \prod_{i=1}^{g} [a_i, b_i] = 1 \rangle. \tag{2}$$

In [JN85], Jankins and Neumann determined the set of components in $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}))$ by an euler class:

$$e : \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})) \to H^2(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) = ab\langle x_0, \ldots, x_n \mid \alpha_i x_i = x_0, i = 1, \ldots, n \rangle$$

The Euler class e is defined as follows. Taking the pull–back central extension for $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}))$ from

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & & & \Gamma & & \\ & & & & & & \downarrow f & & \\ 0 & \to & \mathbb{Z} & \to & \widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}_2}(\mathbb{R}) & \to & \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \to & 1, \end{array}$$

we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & \mathbb{Z} & \to & \widetilde{\Gamma} & \to & \Gamma & \to & 1 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow \tilde{f} & & \downarrow f & & \\ 0 & \to & \mathbb{Z} & \to & \widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}_2}(\mathbb{R}) & \to & \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \to & 1. \end{array} \tag{3}$$

The central extension $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ has a presentation:

$$\langle a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_g, b_g, q_1, \ldots, q_n, h \mid h \text{ is central}, q_j^{\alpha_j} = h^{\beta_j}, q_1 \cdots q_n \prod_{i=1}^{g} [a_i, b_i] = h^{-b} \rangle$$

with $0 \le \beta_i < \alpha_i$. By the presentation of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$, we define $e(f)$ to be $bx_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_n x_n$.

Theorem 2.2 (Theorem 1 in [JN85])**.** *Suppose that* $x \in H^2(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ *satisfies* $x = bx_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_n x_n$ $(0 \le \beta_i < \alpha_i)$*. There exists some* f *such that* $e(f) = x$ *if and only if the following holds:*

(i) *If* $g > 0$ *then* $2 - 2g - n \le b \le 2g - 2$*;*
(ii) *If* $g = 0$ *then either*
  (a) $2 - n \le b \le -2$ *or;*
  (b) $b = -1$ *and* $\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\beta_j/\alpha_j) \le 1$ *or;*
  (c) $b = 1 - n$ *and* $\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\beta_j/\alpha_j) \ge n - 1$.

Remark 2.3. The above definition of the euler class e is the alternative one used in the proof of [JN85, Theorem 1]. The euler class e is defined as $e(f) = f^*(c)$ where $f^* : H^2(B\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(B\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ is the induced homomorphism by f and c is a generator of $H^2(B\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

Jankins and Neumann also proved the following theorem on the components of the $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation space of a Fuchsian group.

Theorem 2.4 (Theorem 2 in [JN85])**.** *Let* Γ *be a cocompact Fuchsian group. The fibers of e are the components of* $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}))$*.*

3. Asymptotics of the Reidemeister torsion via $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations

In the observation of the asymptotic behavior for the Reidemeister torsion, we require that an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-representation ρ sends the central element h to $-I$. Since $\pi_1(M)$ is a central extension of Γ, this is equivalent to that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & \mathbb{Z} & \to & \pi_1(M) & \to & \Gamma & \to & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \overline{\rho} & & \\ 0 & \to & \{\pm I\} & \to & \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) & \to & \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}) & \to & 1. \end{array}$$

The limit (1) in Theorem 2.1 is determined by the induced $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-representation $\overline{\rho}$ of Γ.

Proposition 3.1. *The integer λ_j in the equation* (1) *coincides with the order of $\overline{\rho}(q_j)$.*

Proof. The order of $\rho(\ell_j)$ is equal to $2\lambda_j$. This means that λ_j is the minimum of natural numbers such that $\rho(\ell_j)^\lambda = -I$. On the other hand, the order of $\overline{\rho}(\ell_j)$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the minimum of natural numbers such that $\rho(\ell_j) = \pm I$. Hence the order of $\overline{\rho}(\ell_j)$ is equal to λ_j. □

We can rewrite the statement of Theorem 2.1 in terms of $\overline{\rho}$.

Corollary 3.2. *If a Seifert manifold M has an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-representation ρ such that $\rho(h) = -I$, then we have*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\log|\mathrm{Tor}(M;\rho_{2N})|}{2N} = -\Big(2 - 2g - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_j - 1}{\lambda_j}\Big)\log 2.$$

where λ_j is the order of $\overline{\rho}(q_j)$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

It is natural to try to start with a $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-representation of Γ. Here and subsequently, we focus our attention on $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations of a Fuchsian group and the induced $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of $\pi_1(M)$.

Given a Fuchsian group $\Gamma = \Gamma(g;\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)$ and a $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation $\overline{\rho}$, we have a Seifert manifold M and a $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation $\widetilde{\rho}$ of $\pi_1(M)$, induced from the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & \mathbb{Z} = \langle h\rangle & \to & \pi_1(M) & \to & \Gamma & \to & 1 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow \widetilde{\rho} & & \downarrow \overline{\rho} & & \\ 0 & \to & \mathbb{Z} & \to & \widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \to & \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \to & 1. \end{array} \tag{4}$$

The Seifert index $(g;(1,b),(\alpha_1,\beta_1),\dots,(\alpha_n,\beta_n))$ of M is given by the euler class $e(f)$.

We also have the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation ρ of $\pi_1(M)$ defined by the composition with the projection $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Here we identify the universal cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $(b;\beta_1,\dots,\beta_n)$ satisfies the criteria of Theorem 2.2. Then there exist $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations $\widetilde{\rho}$ of $\pi_1(M(\frac{1}{b},\frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1},\dots,\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}))$ such that $\widetilde{\rho}(h) = \mathrm{sh}(1)$.*

Remark 3.4. Here for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ we write $\mathrm{sh}(\gamma)$ for the shift by γ, that is the self–homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$, $r \mapsto r + \gamma$. We can consider $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$ as a subgroup of the group of homeomorphisms $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which are lifts of homomeomorphisms of the circle. The shift $\mathrm{sh}(\gamma)$ is an element in $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$, which projects to $\begin{pmatrix}\cos(2\pi\gamma) & -\sin(2\pi\gamma)\\ \sin(2\pi\gamma) & \cos(2\pi\gamma)\end{pmatrix}$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ by the projection. The center of $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is $\{\mathrm{sh}(k) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorems 2.2 and 2.4. □

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that $(b;\beta_1,\dots,\beta_n)$ satisfies the criterion of Theorem 2.2. If $\overline{\rho}$ is a $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of Γ in $e^{-1}(bx_0+\beta_1x_1+\cdots+\beta_nx_n)$ and ρ is the induced $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of $M(\frac{1}{b},\frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1},\dots,\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n})$ by $\overline{\rho}$, then λ_j in the asymptotic behavior* (1) *is expressed as*

$$\lambda_j=\frac{\alpha_j}{(\alpha_j,\beta_j)}$$

where (α_j,β_j) is the greatest common divisor of α_j and β_j.

If all (α_j,β_j) are equal to 1*, then we have*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\log|\operatorname{Tor}(M(\frac{1}{b},\frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1},\dots,\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n});\rho_{2N})|}{2N}=-\Big(2-2g-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\alpha_j-1}{\alpha_j}\Big)\log 2=-\chi\log 2$$

where χ is the Euler characteristic of the base orbifold.

Remark 3.6. If M is the unit tangent bundle over a two–orbifold $\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma$ whose fundamental group is embedded as a Fuchsian group Γ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., $M=\mathrm{T}^1\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma(=\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma)$, then M is the Seifert manifold with the index of $(g;(2g-2),(\alpha_1,\alpha_1-1),\dots,(\alpha_n,\alpha_n-1))$ and there exists a lift $\widetilde{\rho}$ of an embedding $\overline{\rho}$ of Γ into $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \to & \mathbb{Z} & \to & \pi_1(M) & \to & \Gamma & \to & 1\\
 & & \| & & \downarrow\widetilde{\rho} & & \downarrow\overline{\rho} & & \\
0 & \to & \mathbb{Z} & \to & \widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \to & \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \to & 1.
\end{array}$$

We can regard this $\widetilde{\rho}$ as an embedding of $\pi_1(M)$ into $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R}))$ since $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}^+(\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R}))$. For more details, we refer to [Sco83].

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose that M is the quotient of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ by a Fuchsian group Γ and $\widetilde{\rho}$ is an embedding of $\pi_1(M)$ into $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})(\subset\mathrm{Isom}^+(\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})))$. For the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation ρ induced by $\widetilde{\rho}$, the asymptotic behavior of the Reidemeister torsion is expressed as*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\log|\operatorname{Tor}(M(\frac{1}{b},\frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1},\dots,\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n});\rho_{2N})|}{2N}=-\chi\log 2$$

Proof. It follows from Euclidean algorithm that $(\alpha_i,\alpha_i-1)=1$. Together with Theorem 3.5, we obtain the limit in our claim. □

Remark 3.8. The Seifert manifold $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma$ is also regarded as $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})/p^{-1}(\Gamma)$ where p is the projection from $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$ onto $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

The next lemma was shown in the proof of [JN85, Theorem 1].

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\overline{\rho}$ be a $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of Γ in $e^{-1}(bx_0+\beta_1x_1+\cdots+\beta_nx_n)$. The induced $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation $\widetilde{\rho}$ of $M(\frac{1}{b},\frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1},\dots,\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n})$ satisfies that every $\widetilde{\rho}(q_j)$ is conjugate to $\mathrm{sh}(\beta_j/\alpha_j)$ in $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$ for $j=1,\dots,n$.*

We enclose this section with the proof of Theorem 3.5.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Our $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation ρ of $\pi_1(M(\frac{1}{b},\frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1},\dots,\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}))$ is given by the $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation $\widetilde{\rho}$ as follows:

$$\rho:\pi_1(M(\tfrac{1}{b},\tfrac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1},\dots,\tfrac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}))\overset{\widetilde{\rho}}{\to}\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})\to\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.9, we can see that

$$\rho(q_j)\overset{conj.}{\sim}\begin{pmatrix}\cos\pi\beta_j/\alpha_j & -\sin\pi\beta_j/\alpha_j\\ \sin\pi\beta_j/\alpha_j & \cos\pi\beta_j/\alpha_j\end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the order of $\bar{\rho}(g_j)$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is equal to $\alpha_j/(\alpha_j,\beta_j)$. Together with Proposition 3.1, we can obtain that $\lambda_j = \alpha_j/(\alpha_j,\beta_j)$. □

4. $\mathbb{Z}_2$-extensions of a Fuchsian group and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations

Let Γ be a Fuchsian group of genus g with branch indices $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n$ and M be a Seifert manifold with the index $(g;(1,b),(\alpha_1,\beta_1),\dots,(\alpha_n,\beta_n))$. Theorem 2.2 shows that when the integers $(b,\beta_1,\dots,\beta_n)$ corresponds to some euler class $e(\bar{\rho})$ in $H^2(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z})$, we have a $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation $\widetilde{\rho}$ of $\pi_1(M)$ induced by $\bar{\rho}$. Then $\widetilde{\rho}$ also induces an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation ρ such that $\rho(h) = -I$.

We can also find $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations of $\pi_1(M)$ induced by other $(b',\beta_1',\dots,\beta_n')$ in $H^2(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z})$. We classify $(b',\beta_1',\dots,\beta_n')$ which gives an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of $\pi_1(M)$.

4.1. **$\mathbb{Z}_2$-extension and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation.** Every irreducible $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation ρ of $\pi_1(M)$ factors through $\pi_1(M)/\langle h^2\rangle$ since ρ sends the central element h into the center of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. When we regard Γ as $\pi_1(M)/\langle h\rangle$, we have the following central extension of Γ:

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \pi_1(M)/\langle h^2\rangle \to \Gamma \to 1.$$

Taking the pull–back central extension from

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & & & \Gamma & & \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \bar{\rho} & & \\ 0 & \to & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \to & \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \to & \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \to & 1, \end{array}$$

we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \to & \hat{\Gamma} & \to & \Gamma & \to & 1 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \bar{\rho} & & \\ 0 & \to & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \to & \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \to & \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \to & 1. \end{array}$$

Here $\hat{\Gamma}$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(M)/\langle h^2\rangle$ for some M.

Lemma 4.1. *The group of central extensions of Γ by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is expressed as*

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z}) \,/\, 2\,\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z}).$$

Remark 4.2. Lemma 4.1 is a consequence of the remark following the proof of [NJ81, Theorem 10.4].

Proof. In proving the surjectivity of the following homomorphism:

$$H^2(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to ab\langle x_0,\dots,x_n \,|\, \alpha_i x_i = x_0, i = 1,\dots,n\rangle,$$

we define a function

$$\nu : ab\langle x_0,\dots,x_n \,|\, \alpha_i x_i = x_0, i = 1,\dots,n\rangle \to S(\mathbb{Z}) = \{\text{subgroups of } \mathbb{Z}\}$$

by $[s_0,\dots,s_n] \mapsto \ker(\mathbb{Z} \to \pi(s_0,\dots,s_n))$. Here $\pi(s_0,\dots,s_n)$ is a central extension of Γ given by $\pi_1(M(g;(1,s_0),(\alpha_1,s_1),\dots,(\alpha_n,s_n)))$. The function ν gives a central extension of Γ by

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/\nu([s_0,\dots,s_n]) \to \pi(s_0,\dots,s_n) \to \Gamma \to 1$$

for each $[s_0,\dots,s_n]$. It is shown in [NJ81, the proof of Theorem 10.4] that

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \nu^{-1}(\{0\}), \quad \nu^{-1}(\{0\}) = ab\langle x_0,\dots,x_n \,|\, \alpha_i x_i = x_0, i = 1,\dots,n\rangle. \tag{5}$$

In the case of $\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, we also define a function

$$\nu' : ab\langle x_0,\dots,x_n \,|\, \alpha_i x_i = x_0, i = 1,\dots,n\rangle \to S(\mathbb{Z}) = \{\text{subgroups of } \mathbb{Z}\}$$

by $[s_0,\dots,s_n] \mapsto \ker(\mathbb{Z} \to \pi(s_0,\dots,s_n)/\langle h^2\rangle)$. One can see that

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \nu'^{-1}(2\mathbb{Z}) \,/\, 2\,ab\langle x_0,\dots,x_n \,|\, \alpha_i x_i = x_0, i = 1,\dots,n\rangle$$

since the submodule $2\,ab\langle x_0,\dots,x_n \,|\, \alpha_i x_i = x_0, i = 1,\dots,n\rangle$ corresponds to the trivial extension. We can see that each element of $\nu^{-1}(\{0\})$ is contained in $\nu'^{-1}(2\mathbb{Z})$ by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \to & \mathbb{Z} & \to & \pi(s_0,\dots,s_n) & \to & \Gamma & \to & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow & & & \\ & & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \to & \pi(s_0,\dots,s_n)/\langle h^2\rangle. & & & & \end{array}$$

Hence it follows from

$$\nu^{-1}(\{0\}) \subset \nu'^{-1}(2\mathbb{Z}) \subset ab\langle x_0,\dots,x_n \,|\, \alpha_i x_i = x_0, i = 1,\dots,n\rangle$$

and (5) that $\nu^{-1}(2\mathbb{Z}) = ab\langle x_0,\dots,x_n \,|\, \alpha_i x_i = x_0, i = 1,\dots,n\rangle \simeq \mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore we have the isomorphism between $\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z})/2\,\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z})$. □

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that both of* $(b,\beta_1,\dots,\beta_n)$ *and* $(b',\beta'_1,\dots,\beta'_n)$ *give the same class in* $\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. *If* $(b',\beta'_1,\dots,\beta'_n)$ *gives an euler class as in Theorem 2.2, then the central extension* $[(b',\beta'_1,\dots,\beta'_n)]$ *in* $\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z})$ *induces an* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$*-representation* ρ' *of* $\pi_1(M)$ *such that* $\rho'(h) = -I$.

Proof. It follows from the assumption that we have an isomorphism φ from $\pi_1(M)/\langle h^2\rangle$ to $\pi_1(M')/\langle h'^2\rangle$ as

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \to & \pi_1(M)/\langle h^2\rangle & \to & \Gamma & \to & 1 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow \varphi & & \| & & \\ 0 & \to & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \to & \pi_1(M')/\langle h'^2\rangle & \to & \Gamma & \to & 1 \end{array}$$

where M and M' are the Seifert manifolds with the indices $(g;(1,b),(\alpha_1,\beta_1),\dots,(\alpha_n,\beta_n))$ and $(g;(1,b'),(\alpha_1,\beta'_1),\dots,(\alpha_n,\beta'_n))$. Since $b'x_0 + \beta'_1x_1 + \cdots + \beta'_nx_n$ is an euler class in $H^2(\Gamma;Z) = \mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z})$, there exists a $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation $\widetilde{\rho}'$ of $\pi_1(M')$. This $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation $\widetilde{\rho}'$ gives an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation ρ' such that $\rho'(h') = -I$. Taking the pull–back of the homomorphism from $\pi_1(M')/\langle h'^2\rangle$ to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ by φ, we obtain a homomorphism from $\pi_1(M)/\langle h^2\rangle$ to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. The composition with the projection from $\pi_1(M)$ gives an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of $\pi_1(M)$ sending h to $-I$. □

Remark 4.4. Although $(b,\beta_1,\dots,\beta_n)$ is contained in the equivalent class of $(b',\beta'_1,\dots,\beta'_n)$ in $\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, the induced representation ρ by $(b,\beta_1,\dots,\beta_n)$ is not necessarily conjugate to ρ' induced by $(b',\beta'_1,\dots,\beta'_n)$. We can see an example in Section 5.

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose that* $\overline{\rho}$ *and* $\overline{\rho}'$ *are* $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$*-representations of* Γ *satisfying that* $[e(\overline{\rho})] = [e(\overline{\rho}')] = [bx_0 + \beta_1x_1 + \cdots + \beta_nx_n]$ *in* $\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ *but* $e(\overline{\rho}) \neq e(\overline{\rho}')$ *in* $\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z})$.

Then the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$*-representation* ρ *of* $\pi_1(M(\frac{1}{b},\frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1},\dots,\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}))$ *is not conjugate to* ρ'.

Proof. If ρ were conjugate to ρ', then $\overline{\rho}$ would be also conjugate to $\overline{\rho}'$. Since the euler class is invariant under conjugation (we refer to the proof of [JN85, Theorem 1]), the euler class $e(\overline{\rho})$ must coincide with $e(\overline{\rho}')$. This is a contradiction to the assumption that $e(\overline{\rho}) \neq e(\overline{\rho}')$. □

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose that* M *denotes a Seifert manifold* $M(\frac{1}{b},\frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1},\dots,\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n})$ *and integers* $(b',\beta'_1,\dots,\beta'_n)$ *gives an euler class satisfying the criteria of Jankins and Neumann in Theorem 2.2. If* $(b,\beta_1,\dots\beta_n)$ *and* $(b',\beta'_1,\dots,\beta'_n)$ *give the same class in* $\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, *then*

there exists an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$*-representation* ρ' *of* $\pi_1(M)$ *such that the asymptotic behavior of* $\mathrm{Tor}(M;\rho'_{2N})$ *is expressed as*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\log|\mathrm{Tor}(M;\rho'_{2N})|}{2N}=-\Big(2-2g-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\lambda'_j-1}{\lambda'_j}\Big)\log 2$$

where $\lambda'_j=\alpha_j/(\alpha_j,\beta'_j)$.

5. Examples

5.1. $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$**-representations of a Brieskorn manifold.** It is known that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is conjugate to $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)=\left\{\begin{pmatrix}\xi & \eta\\ \overline{\eta} & \overline{\xi}\end{pmatrix}\middle|\ |\xi|^2-|\eta|^2=1\right\}$ by $\begin{pmatrix}1 & -\sqrt{-1}\\ 1 & \sqrt{-1}\end{pmatrix}$. We can consider $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$-representations instead of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Suppose that M is a Seifert homology sphere with three exceptional fibers. The genus of the base orbifold must be zero. The Seifert index is given by

$$(0;(1,b),(\alpha_1,\beta_1),(\alpha_2,\beta_2),(\alpha_3,\beta_3)).$$

We can express $\pi_1(M)$ as

$$\pi_1(M)=\langle q_1,q_2,q_3,h\,|\,h\text{:central},\ q_1^{\alpha_j}=h^{\beta_j}(j=1,2,3),\ q_1q_2q_3=h^{-b}\rangle.$$

We write $\begin{pmatrix}\xi_j & \eta_j\\ \overline{\eta_j} & \overline{\xi_j}\end{pmatrix}$ for $\rho(q_j)$ and $a_j+b_j\sqrt{-1}$ for each ξ_j.

We will compute irreducible $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$-representations of $\pi_1(M)$ such that $\rho(h)=-I$ up to conjugation.

Definition 5.1. For an irreducible $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$-representation of $\pi_1(M)$, we have the triple (k_1,k_2,k_3) of natural numbers such that

- $\mathrm{tr}\,\rho(q_j)=2\cos(k_j\pi/\alpha_j)$;
- $0<k_j<\alpha_j$;
- $k_j\equiv\beta_j \bmod 2$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let* ρ *be an irreducible* $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$*-representation of* $\pi_1(M)$. *The conjugacy class of* ρ *is determined by the pair of the triple* (k_1,k_2,k_3) *and the sign of* b_1.

Proof. By the relations of $\pi_1(M)$, the representation of ρ is determined by ξ_1, η_1, ξ_2 and η_2. We can assume that $\rho(q_1)$ is diagonal i.e., $\eta_1=0$, and η_2 is a positive real number. The second assumption is realized by the conjugation of a diagonal matrix. Then a_1 equals to $\cos(k_1\pi/\alpha_1)$ and $b_1=\pm\sin(k_1\pi/\alpha_1)$. Since $\mathrm{tr}\,\rho(q_2)$ equals to $2a_2$, we also have that $a_2=\cos(k_2\pi/\alpha_2)$. By the relation that $q_1q_2=h^{-b}q_3^{-1}$, we have that

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\rho(q_3)=\mathrm{tr}\,\rho(q_3)^{-1}=(-1)^b2(a_1a_2-b_1b_2).$$

Together with $\mathrm{tr}\,\rho(q_3)=2\cos(k_3\pi/\alpha_3)$, we can see that b_2 is determined by (k_1,k_2,k_3) and the sign of b_2. Last η_2 is given by the equality that $a_2^2+b_2^2-\eta_2^2=1$. □

Remark 5.3. The diagonal matrix $\begin{pmatrix}e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}\end{pmatrix}$ is not conjugate to $\begin{pmatrix}e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta} & 0\\ 0 & e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\end{pmatrix}$ in $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$.

Proposition 5.4. *Suppose that an* $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$*-representation* ρ *of* $\pi_1(M)$ *is irreducible and satisfies that* $\rho(h)=-I$.

If b is even, then the corresponding triple (k_1, k_2, k_3) satisfies the either inequality:

$$0 < \frac{k_3}{\alpha_3} \leq \left|\frac{k_1}{\alpha_1} - \frac{k_2}{\alpha_2}\right| \quad or \quad 1 - \left|\frac{k_1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{k_2}{\alpha_2} - 1\right| \leq \frac{k_3}{\alpha_3} < 1. \tag{6}$$

If b is odd, then the corresponding triple (k_1, k_2, k_3) satisfies the either inequality:

$$0 < \frac{k_3}{\alpha_3} \leq \left|\frac{k_1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{k_2}{\alpha_2} - 1\right| \quad or \quad 1 - \left|\frac{k_1}{\alpha_1} - \frac{k_2}{\alpha_2}\right| \leq \frac{k_3}{\alpha_3} < 1. \tag{7}$$

Proof. We prove the case that b is even. In this case, we have the equality that $\rho(q_3) = \rho(q_2)^{-1}\rho(q_1)^{-1}$. We can assume $\rho(q_1)$ is diagonal, i.e.,

$$\rho(q_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\xi_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

without loss of generality. Hence the trace $\operatorname{tr}\rho(q_3) = 2\cos(k_3\pi/\alpha_3)$ equals to $\xi_1\xi_2 + \overline{\xi_1}\,\overline{\xi_2} = 2(a_1a_2 - b_1b_2)$. We have that

$$a_1a_2 - b_1b_2 = \cos\Big(\frac{k_3\pi}{\alpha_3}\Big). \tag{8}$$

It follows from the assumption that $a_1 = \cos(k_1\pi/\alpha_1)$, $b_1 = \pm\sin(k_1\pi/\alpha_1)$. We also have the inequality that $b_2^2 \geq \sin^2(k_2\pi/\alpha_2)$ from $|\xi_2|^2 = 1 + |\eta_2|^2 \geq 1$, $|\xi_2|^2 = a_2^2 + b_2^2$ and $a_2 = \cos(k_2\pi/\alpha_2)$. Together with Eq. (8), we obtain the following constrains:

$$\cos\big(k_1\pi/\alpha_1\big)\cos\big(k_2\pi/\alpha_2\big) \mp \sin\big(k_1\pi/\alpha_1\big)b_2 \geq \cos\big(k_3\pi/\alpha_3\big),$$
$$b_2^2 \geq \sin^2\big(k_2\pi/\alpha_2\big).$$

We can derive our inequality (6) from the above constrains. Similarly we can also derive the inequality (7) in the case that b is odd. □

Remark 5.5. One can find the similar inequality for SU(2)-representations in [FS90], [Sav99, §14.5].

5.2. **Brieskorn manifold of type** $(2, 3, 7)$**.** Let M be the Seifert manifold of the index $(g; (1, b), (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2), (\alpha_3, \beta_3)) = (0; (1, -1), (2, 1), (3, 1), (7, 1))$. Then the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ is expressed as

$$\pi_1(M) = \langle q_1, q_2, q_3, h \,|\, h\text{:central}, q_1^2 = h, q_3^3 = h, q_3^7 = h, q_1q_2q_3 = h^{-(-1)}\rangle.$$

Lemma 5.6. *There are two conjugacy classes of irreducible* SU(1, 1)*-representations ρ of $\pi_1(M)$ such that $\rho(h) = -I$.*

Proof. We have the triple (k_1, k_2, k_3) of natural numbers for the SU(1, 1)-representation ρ, as in Definition 5.1. This triple satisfies the constrain (7). Therefore we have only one triple $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (1, 1, 1)$. Since we have two possibility of the sign of b_1, we can conclude that there are the two conjugacy classes of irreducible SU(1, 1)-representations of $\pi_1(M)$. □

We see the correspondence between the conjugacy classes and the euler classes of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations of Γ. From Theorem 4.3 it is enough to find equivalent classes $[(b', \beta_1', \ldots, \beta_3')]$ in $\mathrm{Ext}(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, which satisfy the criteria of Theorem 2.2. Since the genus g in the Seifert index is equal to 0 and the number n of exceptional fibers is equal to 3, we consider the cases (iib) and (iic) in Theorem 2.2.

(iib) We suppose that $b' = -1$. If we find $(\beta_1', \beta_2', \beta_3')$ such that $\beta_1'/2 + \beta_2'/3 + \beta_3'/7 \leq 1$, then we have only solution $(1, 1, 1)$. Since these integers $(b', \beta_1', \beta_2', \beta_3'$ coincides with $(b, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) = (-1, 1, 1, 1)$ in the Seifert index of M, this solution gives an $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of $\pi_1(M)$.

(iic) We suppose that $b' = -2$. If we find $(\beta'_1, \beta'_2, \beta'_3)$ such that $\beta'_1/2 + \beta'_2/3 + \beta'_3/7 \geq n - 1 = 2$, then we have only solution $(1, 2, 6)$. This solution induces an $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of $\pi_1(M')$ where M' is the Seifert manifold with the index of

$$(g; (1, b), (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2), (\alpha_n, \beta_n)) = (0; (1, -2), (2, 1), (3, 2), (7, 6)).$$

Remark 5.7. The Seifert manifold M' in (iic) is obtained by the homeomorphism by reversing the orientation of a fiber in M. This is due to that the Seifert index of M' is obtained from M as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(0; (1, -1), (2, 1), (3, 1), (7, 1)) &\xrightarrow{ori.\ rev.} (0; (1, 1), (2, -1), (3, -1), (7, -1)) \\ &= (0; (1, 1 - 3), (2, -1 + 2), (3, -1 + 3), (7, -1 + 7)) \\ &= (0; (1, -2), (2, 1), (3, 2), (7, 6)).\end{aligned}$$

We refer to [NJ81, Theorem 1.5] for the above operations of Seifert index.

Lemma 5.8. *The isomorphism from $\pi_1(M)$ to $\pi_1(M')$ induced by the homeomorphism in Remark 5.7. is given by the following correspondence:*

$$h \mapsto h'^{-1}, \quad q_j \mapsto q'_j h'^{-1}.$$

Proof. The homeomorphism by reversing the orientation of a fiber induces the correspondence sending h to h'^{-1}. The change of Seifert index from $(0; (1, 1), (2, -1), (3, -1), (7, -1))$ to $(0; (1, -2), (2, 1), (3, 2), (7, 6))$ corresponds to changing the generators q_j to $q_j h'_j$. If we write q'_j for the new generators, then we have the presentation of $\pi_1(M')$. □

Proposition 5.9. *Let ρ and ρ' be irreducible* $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$*-representations of $\pi_1(M)$ induced by $(b, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) = (-1, 1, 1, 1)$ and $(b', \beta'_1, \beta'_2, \beta'_3) = (-2, 1, 1, 1)$. The conjugacy class of ρ is different from that of ρ'.*

Proof. The euler class of $e(\overline{\rho'})$ is equal to $-e(\overline{\rho})$. It follows from Proposition 4.5 that ρ is not conjugate to ρ'. □

Corollary 5.10. *The* $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$*-representations ρ and ρ' give all representatives in the conjugacy classes of irreducible* $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$*-representations sending h to $-I$.*

Therefore we have the isomorphism φ from $\pi_1(M)$ to $\pi_1(M')$ induced by the orientation reversing homeomorphism. The composition of φ gives an $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of $\pi_1(M)$. These two $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations give the representatives of different conjugacy classes in the set of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations of $\pi_1(M)$.

This observation can be extend to a general Seifert manifold.

Theorem 5.11. *Suppose that M is a Seifert manifold and M' is the orientation reversed manifold $-M$ along fibers. If there exists a $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation $\widetilde{\rho}$ of $\pi_1(M)$, then we also have a $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation $\widetilde{\rho}'$ of $\pi_1(M')$ such that*

- *$\widetilde{\rho}'$ induces an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation ρ' of $\pi_1(M)$ which arises the following asymptotic behavior of the Reidemeister torsion:*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\log|\mathrm{Tor}(M(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}); \rho'_{2N})|}{2N} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\log|\mathrm{Tor}(M(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1}, \ldots, \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}); \rho_{2N})|}{2N}$$

  where ρ is the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation induced by $\widetilde{\rho}$;
- *$e(\overline{\rho'}) = -e(\overline{\rho})$ where $\overline{\rho}$ and $\overline{\rho'}$ are the induced $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representation of Γ.*

Proof. Let φ denote the orientation reversing homeomorphism from M to M'. Then we can choose the composition $\rho \circ \varphi^{-1}$ as ρ'. By the construction, we can see the equality on the asymptotic behaviors of the Reidemeister torsions. We also have the equality on the euler classes of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations of Γ. □

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to express his thanks to Professor Makoto Sakuma for drawing the author's attention to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations of a Fuchsian group and the induced asymptotic behavior of the Reidemeister torsion. This research was supported by by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26800030.

References

[FS90] S. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Instanton homology of Seifert fibered homology three spheres*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **61** (1990), 109–137.

[JN85] M. Jankins and W. Neumann, *Homomorphisms of fuchsian groups to* $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, Comment. Math. Helv. **60** (1985), 480–495.

[NJ81] W. Neumann and M. Jankins, *Seifert manifolds*, Lecture notes, Brandeis Univ., 1981.

[Sav99] N. Saveliev, *Lectures on the topology of* 3*-manifolds*, de Gruyter Textbook, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1999.

[Sco83] P. Scott, *The geometries of* 3*-manifolds*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **15** (1983), 401–487.

[Yam] Y. Yamaguchi, *A surgery formula for the asymptotics of the higher dimensional reidemeister torsion and seifert fibered spaces*, arXiv:1210.8040.

[Yam13] ———, *Higher even dimensional Reidemeister torsion for torus knot exteriors*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **155** (2013), 297–305.

Department of Mathematics, Akita University, 1-1 Tegata-Gakuenmachi, Akita, 010-8502, Japan
E-mail address: shouji@math.akita-u.ac.jp